

# Journal Pre-proof



Society of Dermatology Hospitalists supportive care guidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults

Lucia Seminario-Vidal, MD, PhD, Daniela Kroshinsky, MD, MPH, Stephen J. Malachowski, MD, MS, James Sun, MD, Alina Markova, MD, Thomas M. Beachkofsky, MD, Benjamin H. Kaffenberger, MD, Elizabeth N. Ergen, MD, Melissa Mauskar, MD, Alina Bridges, MD, Cody Calhoun, BS, Adela R. Cardones, MD, Steven T. Chen, MD, MPH, MS-HPed, James Chodosh, MD, MPH, Jonathan Cotliar, MD, Mark D.P. Davis, MD, Katherine L. DeNiro, MD, Arturo R. Dominguez, MD, Juliana Eljure-Téllez, MD, Alisa Femia, MD, Lindy P. Fox, MD, Anisha Guda, BS, Caroline Mitchell, MD, MPH, Arash Mostaghimi, MD, MPA, MPH, Alex G. Ortega-Loayza, MD, Cindy Owen, MD, Helena Pasieka, MD, Sahand Rahnama-Moghadam, MD, MS, Hajirah N. Saeed, MD, Rebecca B. Saunderson, MD, Swapna Shanbhag, MD, Victoria R. Sharon, MD, DTMH, Lindsay Strowd, MD, Samantha Venkatesh, MD, Karolyn A. Wanat, MD, David A. Wetter, MD, Scott Worswick, MD, Robert G. Micheletti, MD

PII: S0190-9622(20)30312-1

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.02.066>

Reference: YMJD 14289

To appear in: *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*

Received Date: 11 December 2019

Revised Date: 4 February 2020

Accepted Date: 26 February 2020

Please cite this article as: Seminario-Vidal L, Kroshinsky D, Malachowski SJ, Sun J, Markova A, Beachkofsky TM, Kaffenberger BH, Ergen EN, Mauskar M, Bridges A, Calhoun C, Cardones AR, Chen ST, Chodosh J, Cotliar J, Davis MDP, DeNiro KL, Dominguez AR, Eljure-Téllez J, Femia A, Fox LP, Guda A, Mitchell C, Mostaghimi A, Ortega-Loayza AG, Owen C, Pasieka H, Rahnama-Moghadam S, Saeed HN, Saunderson RB, Shanbhag S, Sharon VR, Strowd L, Venkatesh S, Wanat KA, Wetter DA, Worswick S, Micheletti RG, Society of Dermatology Hospitalists supportive care guidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults, *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.02.066>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc.

**Article type:** Consultative Dermatology

**Title:** Society of Dermatology Hospitalists supportive care guidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults.

**Authors:** Lucia Seminario-Vidal, MD, PhD<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Daniela Kroshinsky, MD, MPH<sup>3,\*</sup>, Stephen J. Malachowski, MD, MS<sup>1</sup>, James Sun, MD<sup>2</sup>, Alina Markova, MD<sup>4</sup>, Thomas M. Beachkofsky, MD<sup>5,\*</sup>, Benjamin H. Kaffenberger, MD<sup>6,\*</sup>, Elizabeth N. Ergen, MD<sup>7,\*</sup>, Melissa Mauskar, MD<sup>8,9,\*</sup>, Alina Bridges, MD<sup>10,\*</sup>, Cody Calhoun, BS<sup>6</sup>, Adela R. Cardones, MD<sup>11,\*</sup>, Steven T. Chen, MD, MPH, MS-HPed<sup>3,\*</sup>, James Chodosh, MD, MPH<sup>12</sup>, Jonathan Cotliar, MD<sup>13,\*</sup>, Mark D.P. Davis, MD<sup>10,\*</sup>, Katherine L. DeNiro, MD<sup>14,\*</sup>, Arturo R. Dominguez, MD<sup>8,\*</sup>, Juliana Eljure-Téllez, MD<sup>15</sup>, Alisa Femia, MD<sup>16,\*</sup>, Lindy P. Fox, MD<sup>17,\*</sup>, Anisha Guda, BS<sup>18</sup>, Caroline Mitchell, MD, MPH<sup>19</sup>, Arash Mostaghimi, MD, MPA, MPH<sup>20,\*</sup>, Alex G. Ortega-Loayza, MD<sup>21,\*</sup>, Cindy Owen, MD<sup>22,\*</sup>, Helena Pasiaka, MD<sup>23,\*</sup>, Sahand Rahnema-Moghadam MD, MS<sup>24,\*</sup>, Hajirah N. Saeed, MD<sup>12</sup>, Rebecca B. Saunderson, MD<sup>25,\*</sup>, Swapna Shanbhag, MD<sup>26</sup>, Victoria R. Sharon, MD, DTMH<sup>27,\*</sup>, Lindsay Strowd, MD<sup>28,\*</sup>, Samantha Venkatesh, MD<sup>29</sup>, Karolyn A. Wanat, MD<sup>30,\*</sup>, David A. Wetter, MD<sup>10,\*</sup>, Scott Worswick, MD<sup>31,\*</sup>, Robert G. Micheletti, MD<sup>32,\*</sup>

\* Society of Dermatology Hospitalists SJS/TEN expert panel

<sup>1</sup> Department of Dermatology, University of South Florida, Morsani College of Medicine, Tampa, FL

<sup>2</sup> Cutaneous Oncology Program, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL

<sup>3</sup> Department of Dermatology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Medicine, Dermatology Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York City, NY

<sup>5</sup> Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, MD

<sup>6</sup> Department of Dermatology, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH

<sup>7</sup> Department of Dermatology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

<sup>8</sup> Department of Dermatology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

<sup>9</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

<sup>10</sup> Department of Dermatology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

<sup>11</sup> Department of Dermatology, Duke University, Durham, NC

<sup>12</sup> Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Department of Ophthalmology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

<sup>13</sup> Division of Dermatology, Harbor-UCLA medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

<sup>14</sup> Division of Dermatology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

<sup>15</sup> Department of Dermatology, 'Dr. Manuel Gea González' General Hospital, Mexico City, Mexico

<sup>16</sup> Ronald O. Perelman Department of Dermatology, New York University Langone Health, New York, NY

<sup>17</sup> Department of Dermatology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

<sup>18</sup> UT Health San Antonio Long School of Medicine, San Antonio, TX

- <sup>19</sup> Vincent Center for Reproductive Biology, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology & Reproductive Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
- <sup>20</sup> Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
- <sup>21</sup> Department of Dermatology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR
- <sup>22</sup> Department of Medicine, Division of Dermatology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY
- <sup>23</sup> Department of Dermatology, MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DC
- <sup>24</sup> Department of Dermatology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN
- <sup>25</sup> Royal North Shore Hospital, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia
- <sup>26</sup> Tej Kohli Cornea Institute, L.V. Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad, India
- <sup>27</sup> Department of Dermatology, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, New Hyde Park, NY
- <sup>28</sup> Department of Dermatology, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Wake Forest, NC
- <sup>29</sup> Department of Dermatology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL
- <sup>30</sup> Department of Dermatology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Wisconsin, WI
- <sup>31</sup> Department of Dermatology, University of South California, Los Angeles, CA
- <sup>32</sup> Department of Dermatology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

**Corresponding Author**

Lucia Seminario-Vidal, MD, PhD.  
13330 USF Laurel Drive, 6th Floor, Tampa, FL 33612  
Fax: 813-332-0942, Email: [luciasem@usf.edu](mailto:luciasem@usf.edu)

**Funding Sources:** none

**Conflicts of interest:** none

**Manuscript word count:** 2496/2500

**Abstract word count:** 165/200

Capsule summary: 50

**References:** 155

**Figures:** 0

**Tables:** 2

**Appendixes:** 5 (DOI: 10.17632/9g6nv2cjgp.1)

**Key Words:**

Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis, severe cutaneous adverse reaction, dermatology consultation, inpatient, society of dermatology hospitalists

**1 ABSTRACT**

2 Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) are life-threatening  
3 conditions with high morbidity and mortality. Supportive care management of SJS/TEN is highly  
4 variable. A systematic review of the literature was performed by dermatologists,  
5 ophthalmologists, intensivists and gynecologists with expertise in SJS/TEN to generate  
6 statements for supportive care guideline development. Members of the Society of Dermatology  
7 Hospitalists (SDH) with expertise in SJS/TEN were invited to participate in a modified, online  
8 Delphi-consensus. 9-point Likert scale questionnaires regarding 135 statements were  
9 administered. The RAND/UCLA appropriateness method was employed to evaluate and select  
10 proposed statements for guideline inclusion; statements with median ratings of 6.5-9 and  
11 disagreement index  $\leq 1$  were included in the guideline. For the final round, the guidelines were  
12 appraised by all the participants. An evidence-based discussion and recommendations for  
13 hospital setting and care team, wound care, ocular care, oral care, urogenital care, pain  
14 management, infection surveillance, fluid and electrolyte management, nutrition and stress ulcer  
15 prophylaxis, airway management, and anticoagulation in adult patients with SJS/TEN are  
16 included.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 **CAPSULE SUMMARY**

- 25 • Supportive care management of SJS/TEN in practice is highly varied.
- 26 • The Society of Dermatology Hospitalists presents evidence-based practice guidelines for
- 27 hospital setting and care team, wound care, ocular care, oral care, urogenital care, pain
- 28 management, infection surveillance, fluid and electrolyte management, nutrition and stress
- 29 ulcer prophylaxis, airway management, and anticoagulation for adult patients with SJS/TEN.

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

## 47 **BACKGROUND**

48 Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrosis (SJS/TEN) spectrum disease (i.e., SJS, SJS-  
49 TEN overlap, and TEN) is a rare, severe cutaneous reaction affecting 1.6 to 9.2 patients per  
50 million annually in the United States.<sup>1-6</sup> With mortality rates between 15% and 49%,<sup>7-9</sup> early  
51 intervention with intensive supportive care is critical, yet the care implemented in practice is  
52 highly variable.<sup>10</sup> Standardized SJS/TEN management guidelines are a pressing unmet clinical  
53 and research priority.

54

## 55 **METHODS**

56 Eleven topics were developed within the scope of the guidelines (**Table 1**). For each topic,  
57 PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL, the Cochrane Library, and clinicaltrials.gov were searched for  
58 meta-analyses, clinical trials, open studies, case series, and case reports through November 2018.  
59 Articles not written in English were excluded. The search terms and strategies are detailed in  
60 **eAppendix1**. The authors identified additional references from manuscript citations, performed  
61 detailed evaluation, summarized the literature, and provided level of evidence and strength of  
62 recommendations, as indicated in **eAppendix2**. Prior guidelines on SJS/TEN were also  
63 evaluated.<sup>11-16</sup>

64 Experts in SJS/TEN from the Society of Dermatology Hospitalists (SDH) were invited to  
65 participate in the modified Delphi process (**eAppendix3**) and to evaluate the level of  
66 appropriateness of 135 statements regarding supportive care of patients with SJS/TEN.

67 Using the RAND/UCLA appropriateness method,<sup>17</sup> each statement was evaluated by the 1-to-9  
68 appropriateness rating scale and by the level of disagreement, as measured by a disagreement  
69 index (DI). A median appropriateness value of  $1 \leq \text{median} < 3.5$  was considered “inappropriate;”

70 3.5 ≤ median < 6.5 “uncertain;” and 6.5 ≤ median ≤ 9.0 “appropriate.” Descriptive statistics were  
71 calculated for each item during each round and presented with a histogram (**eAppendix4**). R  
72 version 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2019, Vienna, Austria) was used to perform all analyses.

73

## 74 **RESULTS**

75 The SDH supportive care practice guidelines for the management of SJS/TEN in adults are  
76 presented in **Table 2**.

77

## 78 **DISCUSSION**

### 79 **Hospital setting and care team**

80 Specialized care with a multidisciplinary approach is essential to the evaluation and treatment of  
81 patients with SJS/TEN.<sup>11,13,14,16</sup> Dermatologists should directly participate in patient  
82 management, with input from other specialists with expertise in management of the  
83 complications of complex epidermal loss, such as fluid management, wound care, and  
84 mechanical ventilation.<sup>18-20</sup> Several small uncontrolled studies have shown decreased mortality  
85 with early transfers to burn units or intensive care units (ICU).<sup>21-28</sup> The SDH expert panel  
86 recommends care take place in a medical or burn ICU setting, with staff trained in the care of  
87 patients with SJS/TEN. A private room with temperature and humidity control and at least 1:1  
88 nursing care is recommended.

89

### 90 **Wound care**

91 Wound care for SJS/TEN generally follows current practices in burn management, as strong  
92 evidence specific to SJS/TEN is lacking.<sup>29</sup> Percentage body surface area (BSA) of detachable



93 epidermis is integral to patient prognosis and disease progression.<sup>30</sup> Unlike burn guidelines,  
94 which recommend surgical or high-velocity saline debridement of detached epidermis,<sup>12,31,32</sup> the  
95 dermatologic SDH expert panel favors a conservative approach to preserve detached epidermis  
96 as a biologic dressing, reflecting the different underlying mechanisms involved with SJS/TEN  
97 and burn injury.<sup>33</sup> Anti-shear strategies, such as limiting dressing changes, using an air-fluidized  
98 bed, and selecting non-adherent dressings, are recommended.<sup>11,16,34</sup> Lysis and careful drainage of  
99 large or painful bullae may be performed for comfort only. Gentle cleansing, consisting of sterile  
100 water or dilute chlorhexidine with dressing changes, is advised.<sup>35</sup> Application of an emollient  
101 such as petrolatum jelly to the skin enhances barrier function, reduces transcutaneous water loss  
102 and encourages re-epithelialization.<sup>11,36</sup> Alternatively, modern non-adherent, silver-impregnated  
103 primary dressings are recommended for their antibacterial properties, reduced requirement for  
104 dressing changes, and improved patient comfort.<sup>29,37-41</sup> Secondary absorptive dressings should be  
105 used to control exudate.

106

### 107 **Ocular care**

108 Ocular involvement may precede or follow cutaneous disease and occurs in 50-90% of  
109 patients.<sup>27,42-48</sup> Acute ocular findings range from conjunctival hyperemia to loss of the entire  
110 ocular surface and eyelid margin epithelium.<sup>45,49,50</sup> The severity of ocular involvement disease  
111 has not been reliably correlated with the severity of skin disease or SCORTEN.<sup>48,49,51,52</sup>  
112 The SDH expert panel recommends ophthalmic evaluation of all patients with suspected  
113 SJS/TEN, even if there is no apparent ocular involvement. Examination should occur during the  
114 initial assessment, daily until findings have stabilized, and then the frequency is determined on  
115 an individual basis. The entire ocular surface and eyelid margins should be examined with eyelid

116 eversion, eye rotation and fluorescein staining. Resting eyelid position should be assessed so  
117 lagophthalmos can be promptly addressed. Saline may be used to remove loose debris and  
118 appropriate tools used to lyse adhesions during daily exams. Grading of ocular findings may aid  
119 in medical and surgical decision making (e.g. eAppendix5).<sup>46</sup>

120 Amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) has shown to mitigate long-term ocular  
121 complications in multiple studies.<sup>51,53-59</sup> AMT should be offered to patients with significant  
122 conjunctival, corneal or eyelid margin epithelial defects. If AMT is indicated and not available, a  
123 hospital transfer should be considered. Amniotic membrane should cover the entire affected  
124 surface including eyelid margins and may need to be replaced over time.

125 Limited data address the use of topical therapies, including lubricants, anti-inflammatory agents  
126 and anti-microbial agents.<sup>15,49,60</sup> For patients without acute ocular involvement, preservative-free  
127 artificial tears (AT) should be considered (e.g. AT 4 x/day). Any degree of ocular involvement  
128 should prompt high-frequency AT (e.g. AT every 1-2 hours). Topical corticosteroids are used to  
129 ameliorate ocular inflammation and may improve visual outcomes.<sup>15,46,59,62</sup> For any degree of  
130 ocular inflammation, a topical corticosteroid drop should be applied to the ocular surface (e.g.  
131 prednisolone acetate 1%, 2-6 x/day), and a corticosteroid ointment should be applied to the  
132 eyelids (e.g. fluorometholone 0.1%, 2-6 x/d). There is limited evidence to guide the use of  
133 prophylactic topical antibiotics; however, for patients with ocular epithelial defects, a broad-  
134 spectrum topical antibiotic (e.g. Moxifloxacin 0.5%, 3 x/day) should be used. If an ocular  
135 infection is suspected, appropriate cultures should be obtained.

136

137

138

139 **Oral care**

140 Oral involvement occurs in 93 to 100 % of patients with SJS/TEN, resulting in pain, impaired  
141 oral intake, and poor oral hygiene.<sup>43,63</sup> Long-term complications include sicca syndrome in up to  
142 40% of patients and scarring.<sup>64-66</sup>

143 All patients with SJS/TEN should have an oral cavity exam on initial presentation and daily  
144 thereafter. The use of topical therapies for treating oral involvement in acute SJS/TEN has been  
145 adapted from studies in patients with autoimmune blistering diseases involving the oral mucosa,  
146 chemotherapy-induced mucositis, and oral graft-versus-host disease (GvHD).<sup>67-71</sup> To provide  
147 short-term pain relief and facilitate oral intake, a mouthwash containing a topical anesthetic  
148 agent such as lidocaine is recommended.<sup>63</sup> Topical coating agents have been recommended to  
149 reduce pain and facilitate healing by covering mucosal ulcerations, such as hydroxypropyl  
150 methylcellulose film-forming agents (e.g., Zilactin®), Gelclair®, and Amphojel®.<sup>68</sup>  
151 Oral rinses increase clearance of debris, promote oral hygiene, and improve patient comfort.<sup>68</sup>  
152 Antiseptic oral agents are preferred by the SDH expert panel, with a recommendation to consider  
153 diluted chlorhexidine.<sup>72</sup> Ultrapotent topical corticosteroids (e.g., clobetasol gel or ointment  
154 (0.05%) with or without adhesive bases such as carboximethyl or hydroxyethyl-cellulose, three  
155 to four times a day) have been shown to be beneficial in the management of patients with erosive  
156 diseases of the oral mucosa<sup>73, 69, 74-76</sup> and are recommended by the expert panel. Dexamethasone  
157 mouth rinse (0.1 mg/mL) or clobetasol propionate 0.05% in aqueous solution, are alternative  
158 options. Evidence to support the use of other topical anti-inflammatory agents is lacking.<sup>77</sup>

159

160

161

**162 Urogenital care**

163 Urogenital involvement occurs in approximately 70% of women<sup>78-80</sup> and men<sup>80</sup> with SJS/TEN,  
164 resulting in erosions of the scrotum/labia, penis/vulva, dysuria, hematuria, urinary retention, and  
165 long-term sequelae such as urethral stenosis and scarring, xerosis, phimosis, dyspareunia,  
166 chronic pain, bleeding, sexual dysfunction, infertility, and anxiety.<sup>78-89</sup>

167 The urogenital tract of all patients with SJS/TEN should be examined upon initial assessment  
168 and daily during hospitalization, ideally by a gynecologist, urologist, or urogynecology  
169 specialist. The efficacy of treatment strategies has not been adequately studied. Emollients, such  
170 as petrolatum, are commonly used to protect inflamed mucosa, reduce adhesion formation, and  
171 facilitate healing.<sup>16,80,85</sup> Ultrapotent topical corticosteroids applied to genital lesions during the  
172 acute phase may be helpful.<sup>85</sup> If there is clinical suspicion for candidiasis in the setting of vaginal  
173 steroid use, consider obtaining a KOH and fungal culture and initiating treatment with antifungal  
174 medications.

175 Insertion of an intravaginal device as early as possible may prevent adhesions and stenosis in  
176 those with visible disease.<sup>79</sup> Intravaginal devices should be used regularly until complete healing  
177 of lesions and may remain in place for up to 24 hours before being replaced. In patients  
178 uncomfortable with using an intravaginal device, medications can be applied twice daily with a  
179 vaginal applicator. The role of intravaginal devices in patients without visible disease is  
180 uncertain (median 5, DI 0.49).

181 Menstrual suppression may reduce the risk of vaginal adenosis and endometriosis and can be  
182 considered in women with severe genital mucosal involvement.<sup>83,85</sup> Topical estrogen has been  
183 shown to promote healing in other vulvar dermatoses and burns and should be considered as  
184 adjuvant therapy.<sup>90-94</sup>

185 Urinary catheters are recommended to decrease pain with urination, prevent urinary obstruction,  
186 and monitor fluid balance.<sup>11,80</sup> They should be removed as soon as complete healing occurs and  
187 the patient passes a voiding trial. The SDH expert panel recommends topical lidocaine to  
188 minimize pain with urinary catheter and vaginal device insertion.

189

### 190 **Pain management**

191 Mucocutaneous pain is a key feature of SJS/TEN, occurring in ~ 90% of patients and associated  
192 with physical and psychological burden and prolonged hospital stay. It is exacerbated by  
193 physical activity, procedures, and dressing changes.<sup>95</sup>

194 Pain management should be individualized according to pain level and patient comorbidities.

195 Pain level should be evaluated every 4 hours using visual or numeric analog scales.<sup>96</sup>

196 Wound care strategies that minimize dressing changes are associated with reduced pain.<sup>37,39,97</sup>

197 Acetaminophen may be sufficient for treatment of mild pain. However, opioid therapy is

198 frequently indicated. Oral synthetic opiates are helpful to control moderate pain. Morphine or

199 fentanyl given enterally, by intravenous bolus, patient-controlled analgesia, or via infusion, may

200 be necessary for more severe pain.<sup>98</sup> Low-dose ketamine infusions may be considered as an

201 alternative or adjuvant therapy for pain in SJS/TEN.<sup>96,99,100</sup> Gabapentin and pregabalin help

202 address neuropathic pain and may decrease opioid consumption in both the acute and healing

203 phases.<sup>101-104</sup> Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should generally be avoided due to their

204 potential for renal and gastric injury.

205

206

207

**208 Infection surveillance**

209 Infections have been reported in up to 85% of patients with SJS/TEN,<sup>105</sup> and sepsis is the most  
210 common cause of death.<sup>106-109</sup> Exposed dermis facilitates bacterial colonization, leading to  
211 increased infection risk and impaired re-epithelialization.<sup>11</sup>  
212 The skin should be monitored frequently for signs of infection, such as increasing skin pain.<sup>11</sup>  
213 Confusion, hypotension, reduced urinary output, and reduced oxygen saturation may indicate  
214 systemic infection.<sup>106,110</sup> In patients in whom infection is suspected, bacterial swabs should be  
215 obtained. Slow-healing sites with erosions or vesicles may indicate HSV super-infection,  
216 particularly in genital and oral sites; viral swabs should be obtained in such cases.<sup>11</sup> The SDH  
217 expert panel did not favor routine performance of skin cultures to guide antimicrobial therapy.  
218 Severe ear-nose-throat (ENT) involvement has been associated with pulmonary infection.<sup>111</sup>  
219 Evaluation using nasal fiberoptic endoscopy should be considered when dysphonia or dyspnea  
220 are present. For intubated patients, there was disagreement and uncertainty (median 5, DI > 1.02)  
221 regarding the need of routine fiberoptic bronchoscopy to obtain bronchoalveolar lavage  
222 specimens for culture and sensitivity testing, in the absence of signs of infection.<sup>112</sup>  
223 Hand hygiene and hospital infection control measures should be followed to prevent infection.  
224 Prophylactic antibiotic coverage in the absence of proven or suspected infection may select for  
225 resistant organisms and contribute to increased mortality.<sup>113</sup> Antibiotic-therapy should be tailored  
226 to culture data<sup>12,113,114</sup> and local antibiogram.<sup>115</sup> Data suggest *Staphylococcus aureus*,  
227 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacteriaceae* organisms are the most common causes of  
228 blood stream infection in SJS/TEN.<sup>106</sup>  
229 Patients with SJS/TEN may develop neutropenia, especially in severe cases.<sup>116,117</sup> The role of  
230 recombinant human G-CSF in this setting is uncertain (median 5, DI 0.32).<sup>118,119</sup>

**231 Fluid management and electrolytes**

232 Electrolytes abnormalities occur in approximately 20% of patients with SJS/TEN.<sup>95</sup> Due to  
233 extensive skin failure, patients may have large insensible losses.<sup>11</sup> Oropharyngeal lesions  
234 contribute to decreased oral intake. Electrolytes can be lost in blister fluid, which is rich in  
235 sodium, potassium, and chloride.<sup>13</sup> Hypophosphatemia is also common.<sup>13</sup> Fluid balance and  
236 electrolytes should be monitored daily to ensure adequate correction during treatment.<sup>120</sup>  
237 Fluid resuscitation in SJS/TEN is adapted from the management of burn patients, though fluid  
238 losses, in general, are less.<sup>121</sup> Current evidence supports the use of crystalloid for resuscitation,  
239 though there are no prospective data to guide fluid selection.<sup>121-123</sup> Evidence regarding colloid  
240 fluids and albumin is controversial,<sup>121,124-127</sup> and their use was considered uncertain by the expert  
241 panel (median 6, DI 0.65). Appropriate calculation of fluid resuscitation volume based on the  
242 percent of detached skin was also uncertain (median 5, DI 0.55).<sup>14,123,126</sup> The expert panel  
243 recommended resuscitation be guided by physiologic parameters, with a target urine output of  
244 0.5 – 1 mL/kg/hr.<sup>25,128,129</sup>

245

**246 Nutrition and stress ulcer prophylaxis**

247 Caloric requirements in SJS/TEN are increased.<sup>11</sup> Caloric intake should be 30-35 kcal/kg.<sup>13</sup> In  
248 patients unable to eat, a nasogastric tube should be used to provide enteral nutrition unless there  
249 is involvement of the nasopharyngeal mucosa.<sup>130-132</sup> Enteral nutrition is preferable to prevent  
250 stress ulcer formation and infectious complications.<sup>133</sup> If adequate nutritional requirements  
251 cannot be met enterally, parenteral nutrition can be used to supplement deficiencies,<sup>99,134</sup>  
252 however it has been associated with higher mortality rates.<sup>135</sup>

253 Hyperglycemia is common in SJS/TEN and is associated with increased mortality, therefore,  
254 careful glucose monitoring to ensure adequate glycemic control is recommended.<sup>131</sup> Tight  
255 glycemic control regimens (serum glucose 80–110 mg/dl) have been associated with increased  
256 hypoglycemic events and mortality among adults in the ICU; thus, glycemic control regimens  
257 maintaining glucose levels between 110 -180 mg/dl are preferable.<sup>136-139</sup>

258 In patients receiving enteral nutrition, pharmacologic stress ulcer prophylaxis (SUP) is not  
259 recommended based on studies performed in ICU patients.<sup>140-142</sup>

260

### 261 **Airway management**

262 Patients with SJS/TEN may experience sloughing of the respiratory tract epithelium which  
263 cannot be predicted by the extent of cutaneous involvement.<sup>11</sup> Chest x-ray and arterial blood gas  
264 measurement should be obtained as part of the baseline evaluation.<sup>16,143-145</sup> Appropriate  
265 pulmonary toilet and positioning may help keep the upper airway clear.<sup>13</sup> Attention should be  
266 paid to the nose to maintain a clear respiratory passage.

267 Patients with hypoxemia, dyspnea, or tachypnea should undergo fiberoptic bronchoscopy to  
268 evaluate the extent of bronchial involvement while minimizing iatrogenic trauma.<sup>145,146</sup>

269 Pulmonary function testing and computed tomography scanning are indicated in those with  
270 ongoing respiratory symptoms.<sup>14,147</sup>

271 Patients with SJS/TEN may experience airway compromise requiring intubation and early  
272 tracheostomy (before ventilator day 10) prior to the onset of respiratory failure, predicted by oral  
273 mucosal involvement and initial BSA of 70% or more, progression of BSA from hospital day 1  
274 to hospital day 3 by 15% or more, neurologic diagnosis preventing airway protection, or  
275 documented airway involvement on direct laryngoscopy.<sup>148</sup> Improved survival is attributed to



276 aggressive wound care after airway protection. Ventilation strategies should mimic those used in  
277 acute respiratory distress syndrome, such as low tidal volume<sup>149</sup> and early prone  
278 positioning.<sup>144,150</sup>

279

### 280 **Anticoagulation**

281 Patients with SJS/TEN are at increased risk of venous thromboembolism. Prophylaxis with low  
282 weight molecular heparin is recommended.<sup>151-154</sup> Patients who are bleeding or at high risk of  
283 major bleeding should receive graduated compression stockings or intermittent pneumatic  
284 compression instead.<sup>152,154</sup> Early mobilization of patients should be encouraged.<sup>155</sup>

285

### 286 **LIMITATIONS AND CONCLUSION**

287 These guidelines address supportive care treatment options for adult patients with SJS/TEN.  
288 Systemic treatment options, management of sequelae, and considerations in special populations  
289 (e.g., pediatric, pregnant) will be addressed in future guidelines. Judgment regarding the  
290 appropriateness of any specific therapy lies with the treating clinician. Future studies will  
291 necessitate revisions and updates to these recommendations.

292

### 293 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

294 We are in debt of Randy Polo, J.D., M.A. and John J. Orriola, MLS, M.Ed, research and  
295 education librarians at Shimberg Health Sciences Library, University of South Florida, for their  
296 invaluable support in the design of the search strategies and creation of EndNote libraries.

297

298

## 299 REFERENCES

- 300 1. Abe J, Mataka K, Umetsu R, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal  
 301 necrolysis: the Food and Drug Administration adverse event reporting system,  
 302 2004-2013. *Allergology international : official journal of the Japanese Society of*  
 303 *Allergology*. 2015;64(3):277-279.
- 304 2. Hsu DY, Brieva J, Silverberg NB, Silverberg JI. Morbidity and Mortality of Stevens-  
 305 Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis in United States Adults. *J Invest*  
 306 *Dermatol*. 2016;136(7):1387-1397.
- 307 3. Rzany B, Mockenhaupt M, Baur S, et al. Epidemiology of erythema exsudativum  
 308 multiforme majus, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis in  
 309 Germany (1990-1992): structure and results of a population-based registry. *Journal*  
 310 *of clinical epidemiology*. 1996;49(7):769-773.
- 311 4. Schopf E, Stuhmer A, Rzany B, Victor N, Zentgraf R, Kapp JF. Toxic epidermal  
 312 necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome. An epidemiologic study from West  
 313 Germany. *Arch Dermatol*. 1991;127(6):839-842.
- 314 5. Diphoorn J, Cazzaniga S, Gamba C, et al. Incidence, causative factors and mortality  
 315 rates of Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) in  
 316 northern Italy: data from the REACT registry. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug*  
 317 *safety*. 2016;25(2):196-203.
- 318 6. Chan HL, Stern RS, Arndt KA, et al. The incidence of erythema multiforme, Stevens-  
 319 Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis. A population-based study with  
 320 particular reference to reactions caused by drugs among outpatients. *Arch Dermatol*.  
 321 1990;126(1):43-47.
- 322 7. Roujeau JC, Stern RS. Severe adverse cutaneous reactions to drugs. *The New England*  
 323 *journal of medicine*. 1994;331(19):1272-1285.
- 324 8. Sekula P, Dunant A, Mockenhaupt M, et al. Comprehensive survival analysis of a  
 325 cohort of patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis.  
 326 *The Journal of investigative dermatology*. 2013;133(5):1197-1204.
- 327 9. Micheletti RG, Chiesa-Fuxench Z, Noe MH, et al. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome/Toxic  
 328 Epidermal Necrolysis: A Multicenter Retrospective Study of 377 Adult Patients from  
 329 the United States. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2018;138(11):2315-2321.
- 330 10. Le HG, Saeed H, Mantagos IS, Mitchell CM, Goverman J, Chodosh J. Burn unit care of  
 331 Stevens Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis: A survey. *Burns : journal of*  
 332 *the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2016;42(4):830-835.
- 333 11. Creamer D, Walsh SA, Dziewulski P, et al. U.K. guidelines for the management of  
 334 Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults 2016. *The British*  
 335 *journal of dermatology*. 2016;174(6):1194-1227.
- 336 12. Endorf FW, Cancio LC, Gibran NS. Toxic epidermal necrolysis clinical guidelines. *J*  
 337 *Burn Care Res*. 2008;29(5):706-712.
- 338 13. Gupta LK, Martin AM, Agarwal N, et al. Guidelines for the management of Stevens-  
 339 Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis: An Indian perspective. *Indian J*  
 340 *Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. 2016;82(6):603-625.
- 341 14. Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Duong TA, Bensaid B, et al. Epidermal necrolysis French national  
 342 diagnosis and care protocol (PNDS; protocole national de diagnostic et de soins).  
 343 *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2018;13(1):56.

- 344 15. Kohanim S, Palioura S, Saeed HN, et al. Acute and Chronic Ophthalmic Involvement  
 345 in Stevens-Johnson Syndrome/Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis - A Comprehensive  
 346 Review and Guide to Therapy. II. Ophthalmic Disease. *The ocular surface*.  
 347 2016;14(2):168-188.
- 348 16. White KD, Abe R, Ardern-Jones M, et al. SJS/TEN 2017: Building Multidisciplinary  
 349 Networks to Drive Science and Translation. *The journal of allergy and clinical*  
 350 *immunology In practice*. 2018;6(1):38-69.
- 351 17. Fitch KB, Bernstein SJ, Aguilar MD, et al. *The RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method*  
 352 *User's Manual*. Santa Monica, CA.: RAND Corporation; 2001.
- 353 18. East-Innis AD, Thompson DS. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal  
 354 necrolysis at the University Hospital of the West Indies, Jamaica. *The West Indian*  
 355 *medical journal*. 2013;62(7):589-592.
- 356 19. Cunha LA, M.; Paula, F.; Mocanu, I. . Stevens-Johnson Syndrome in a ward of internal  
 357 medicine. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2013;24:e268.
- 358 20. Criton S, Devi K, Sridevi PK, Asokan PU. Toxic epidermal necrolysis--a retrospective  
 359 study. *International journal of dermatology*. 1997;36(12):923-925.
- 360 21. Auquier-Dunant A, Mockenhaupt M, Naldi L, Correia O, Schroder W, Roujeau JC.  
 361 Correlations between clinical patterns and causes of erythema multiforme majus,  
 362 Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis: results of an  
 363 international prospective study. *Arch Dermatol*. 2002;138(8):1019-1024.
- 364 22. Ellis MW, Oster CN, Turiansky GW, Blanchard JR. A case report and a proposed  
 365 algorithm for the transfer of patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic  
 366 epidermal necrolysis to a burn center. *Military medicine*. 2002;167(8):701-704.
- 367 23. Heimbach DM, Engrav LH, Marvin JA, Harnar TJ, Grube BJ. Toxic epidermal  
 368 necrolysis. A step forward in treatment. *Jama*. 1987;257(16):2171-2175.
- 369 24. Mahar PD, Wasiak J, Hii B, et al. A systematic review of the management and  
 370 outcome of toxic epidermal necrolysis treated in burns centres. *Burns*.  
 371 2014;40(7):1245-1254.
- 372 25. McCullough M, Burg M, Lin E, Peng D, Garner W. Steven Johnson Syndrome and  
 373 Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis in a burn unit: A 15-year experience. *Burns : journal of*  
 374 *the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2017;43(1):200-205.
- 375 26. McGee T, Munster A. Toxic epidermal necrolysis syndrome: mortality rate reduced  
 376 with early referral to regional burn center. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*.  
 377 1998;102(4):1018-1022.
- 378 27. Oplatek A, Brown K, Sen S, Halerz M, Supple K, Gamelli RL. Long-term follow-up of  
 379 patients treated for toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Burn Care Res*. 2006;27(1):26-33.
- 380 28. Kaffenberger BH, Rosenbach M. Toxic epidermal necrolysis and early transfer to a  
 381 regional burn unit: is it time to reevaluate what we teach? *J Am Acad Dermatol*.  
 382 2014;71(1):195-196.
- 383 29. Castillo B, Vera N, Ortega-Loayza AG, Seminario-Vidal L. Wound care for Stevens-  
 384 Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Journal of the American Academy*  
 385 *of Dermatology*. 2018;79(4):764-767 e761.
- 386 30. Abela C, Hartmann CE, De Leo A, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN): the Chelsea  
 387 and Westminster Hospital wound management algorithm. *Journal of plastic,*  
 388 *reconstructive & aesthetic surgery : JPRAS*. 2014;67(8):1026-1032.

- 389 31. Dillon CK, Lloyd MS, Dzeiwulski P. Accurate debridement of toxic epidermal  
390 necrolysis using Versajet. *Burns*. 2010;36(4):581-584.
- 391 32. Nizamoglu M, Ward JA, Frew Q, et al. Improving mortality outcomes of Stevens  
392 Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis: A regional burns centre experience.  
393 *Burns*. 2018;44(3):603-611.
- 394 33. Lee HY. Wound management strategies in Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic  
395 epidermal necrolysis: An unmet need. *Journal of the American Academy of*  
396 *Dermatology*. 2018;79(4):e87-e88.
- 397 34. Dorafshar AH, Dickie SR, Cohn AB, et al. Antishear therapy for toxic epidermal  
398 necrolysis: an alternative treatment approach. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*.  
399 2008;122(1):154-160.
- 400 35. Valeyrie-Allanore L, Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Chosidow O, Wolkenstein P. French referral  
401 center management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis.  
402 *Dermatologica Sinica*. 2013;31(4):191-195.
- 403 36. Paquet P, Pierard GE. Topical treatment options for drug-induced toxic epidermal  
404 necrolysis (TEN). *Expert opinion on pharmacotherapy*. 2010;11(15):2447-2458.
- 405 37. Huang SH, Lin CH, Chang KP, et al. Clinical evaluation comparing the efficacy of  
406 aquacel Ag with vaseline gauze versus 1% silver sulfadiazine cream in toxic  
407 epidermal necrolysis. *Advances in skin & wound care*. 2014;27(5):210-215.
- 408 38. Huang SH, Wu SH, Sun IF, et al. AQUACEL Ag in the treatment of toxic epidermal  
409 necrolysis (TEN). *Burns*. 2008;34(1):63-66.
- 410 39. Huang SH, Yang PS, Wu SH, et al. Aquacel Ag with Vaseline gauze in the management  
411 of toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN). *Burns*. 2010;36(1):121-126.
- 412 40. Smith SD, Dodds A, Dixit S, Cooper A. Role of nanocrystalline silver dressings in the  
413 management of toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) and TEN/Stevens-Johnson  
414 syndrome overlap. *Australas J Dermatol*. 2015;56(4):298-302.
- 415 41. Yang JY, Huang CY, Chuang SS, Chen CC. A clinical experience of treating exfoliative  
416 wounds using nanocrystalline silver-containing dressings (Acticoat). *Burns*.  
417 2007;33(6):793-797.
- 418 42. Heng JS, Malik N, Joshi N, et al. Severity of acute ocular involvement is independently  
419 associated with time to resolution of ocular disease in toxic epidermal necrolysis  
420 patients. *The British journal of ophthalmology*. 2015;99(2):251-254.
- 421 43. Revuz J, Penso D, Roujeau JC, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis. Clinical findings and  
422 prognosis factors in 87 patients. *Arch Dermatol*. 1987;123(9):1160-1165.
- 423 44. Gueudry J, Roujeau JC, Binaghi M, Soubrane G, Muraine M. Risk factors for the  
424 development of ocular complications of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic  
425 epidermal necrolysis. *Archives of dermatology*. 2009;145(2):157-162.
- 426 45. Power WJ, Ghoraishi M, Merayo-Llodes J, Neves RA, Foster CS. Analysis of the acute  
427 ophthalmic manifestations of the erythema multiforme/Stevens-Johnson  
428 syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis disease spectrum. *Ophthalmology*.  
429 1995;102(11):1669-1676.
- 430 46. Sotozono C, Ueta M, Koizumi N, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of Stevens-Johnson  
431 syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis with ocular complications. *Ophthalmology*.  
432 2009;116(4):685-690.

- 433 47. Chang YS, Huang FC, Tseng SH, Hsu CK, Ho CL, Sheu HM. Erythema multiforme,  
434 Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis: acute ocular  
435 manifestations, causes, and management. *Cornea*. 2007;26(2):123-129.
- 436 48. Morales ME, Purdue GF, Verity SM, Arnolde BD, Blomquist PH. Ophthalmic  
437 Manifestations of Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis and  
438 Relation to SCORTEN. *American journal of ophthalmology*. 2010;150(4):505-  
439 510.e501.
- 440 49. Lopez-Garcia JS, Rivas Jara L, Garcia-Lozano CI, Conesa E, de Juan IE, Murube del  
441 Castillo J. Ocular features and histopathologic changes during follow-up of toxic  
442 epidermal necrolysis. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(2):265-271.
- 443 50. Yip LW, Thong BY, Lim J, et al. Ocular manifestations and complications of Stevens-  
444 Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: an Asian series. *Allergy*.  
445 2007;62(5):527-531.
- 446 51. Ciralsky JB, Sippel KC, Gregory DG. Current ophthalmologic treatment strategies for  
447 acute and chronic Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis.  
448 *Current opinion in ophthalmology*. 2013;24(4):321-328.
- 449 52. Jain R, Sharma N, Basu S, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome: The role of an  
450 ophthalmologist. *Survey of ophthalmology*. 2016;61(4):369-399.
- 451 53. Gregory DG. Treatment of acute Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal  
452 necrolysis using amniotic membrane: a review of 10 consecutive cases.  
453 *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(5):908-914.
- 454 54. Agrawal A, Pratap VB. Amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) without the use  
455 of sutures/fibrin glue. *Nepalese journal of ophthalmology : a biannual peer-reviewed  
456 academic journal of the Nepal Ophthalmic Society : NEPJOPH*. 2015;7(14):173-177.
- 457 55. Hsu M, Jayaram A, Verner R, Lin A, Bouchard C. Indications and outcomes of  
458 amniotic membrane transplantation in the management of acute stevens-johnson  
459 syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: a case-control study. *Cornea*.  
460 2012;31(12):1394-1402.
- 461 56. John T, Foulks GN, John ME, Cheng K, Hu D. Amniotic membrane in the surgical  
462 management of acute toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109(2):351-  
463 360.
- 464 57. Kim KH, Park SW, Kim MK, Wee WR. Effect of age and early intervention with a  
465 systemic steroid, intravenous immunoglobulin or amniotic membrane  
466 transplantation on the ocular outcomes of patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome.  
467 *Korean journal of ophthalmology : KJO*. 2013;27(5):331-340.
- 468 58. Ma KN, Thanos A, Chodosh J, Shah AS, Mantagos IS. A Novel Technique for Amniotic  
469 Membrane Transplantation in Patients with Acute Stevens-Johnson Syndrome. *The  
470 ocular surface*. 2016;14(1):31-36.
- 471 59. Shamma MC, Lai EC, Sarkar JS, Yang J, Starr CE, Sippel KC. Management of acute  
472 Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis utilizing amniotic  
473 membrane and topical corticosteroids. *American journal of ophthalmology*.  
474 2010;149(2):203-213.e202.
- 475 60. Lin A, Patel N, Yoo D, DeMartelaere S, Bouchard C. Management of ocular conditions  
476 in the burn unit: thermal and chemical burns and Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic  
477 epidermal necrolysis. *J Burn Care Res*. 2011;32(5):547-560.

- 478 61. Gilissen L, De Decker L, Hulshagen T, Goossens A. Allergic contact dermatitis caused  
479 by topical ophthalmic medications: Keep an eye on it! *Contact dermatitis*.  
480 2019;80(5):291-297.
- 481 62. Saeed HN, Chodosh J. Ocular manifestations of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and their  
482 management. *Current opinion in ophthalmology*. 2016;27(6):522-529.
- 483 63. Reddy RB, Shekar PC, Chandra KL, Aravind R. Oral lesions associated with  
484 Nevirapine-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: A  
485 report of 10 cases. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial pathology : JOMFP*.  
486 2013;17(3):431-435.
- 487 64. Roujeau JC, Phlippoteau C, Koso M, et al. Sjogren-like syndrome after drug-induced  
488 toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Lancet (London, England)*. 1985;1(8429):609-611.
- 489 65. Saban J, Pais JR, Rodriguez JL, Boixeda D. Sjogren-like pluriglandular exocrine  
490 insufficiency after drug-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Postgraduate medical*  
491 *journal*. 1991;67(784):195-197.
- 492 66. Sedghizadeh PP, Kumar SK, Gorur A, Mastin C, Boros AL. Toxic epidermal necrolysis  
493 with a rare long-term oral complication requiring surgical intervention. *Oral*  
494 *surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics*.  
495 2008;105(4):e29-33.
- 496 67. Kuten-Shorrer M, Woo SB, Treister NS. Oral graft-versus-host disease. *Dental clinics*  
497 *of North America*. 2014;58(2):351-368.
- 498 68. Lalla RV, Bowen J, Barasch A, et al. MASCC/ISOO clinical practice guidelines for the  
499 management of mucositis secondary to cancer therapy. *Cancer*. 2014;120(10):1453-  
500 1461.
- 501 69. Shazib MA, Muhlbauer J, Schweiker R, Li S, Cutler C, Treister N. Long-Term  
502 Utilization Patterns Of Topical Therapy And Clinical Outcomes Of Oral Chronic Graft-  
503 Versus-Host Disease. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the*  
504 *American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2019.
- 505 70. Sobocinski V, Dridi SM, Bisson C, et al. [Oral care recommendations for patients with  
506 oral autoimmune bullous diseases]. *Annales de dermatologie et de venerologie*.  
507 2017;144(3):182-190.
- 508 71. Zadik Y, Elad S, Shapira A, Shapira MY. Treatment of oral mucosal manifestations of  
509 chronic graft-versus-host disease: dexamethasone vs. budesonide. *Expert opinion on*  
510 *pharmacotherapy*. 2017;18(3):235-242.
- 511 72. Foote RL, Loprinzi CL, Frank AR, et al. Randomized trial of a chlorhexidine  
512 mouthwash for alleviation of radiation-induced mucositis. *Journal of clinical*  
513 *oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*.  
514 1994;12(12):2630-2633.
- 515 73. Lozada-Nur F, Huang MZ, Zhou GA. Open preliminary clinical trial of clobetasol  
516 propionate ointment in adhesive paste for treatment of chronic oral vesiculoerosive  
517 diseases. *Oral surgery, oral medicine, and oral pathology*. 1991;71(3):283-287.
- 518 74. Rugo HS, Seneviratne L, Beck JT, et al. Prevention of everolimus-related stomatitis in  
519 women with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer  
520 using dexamethasone mouthwash (SWISH): a single-arm, phase 2 trial. *The Lancet*  
521 *Oncology*. 2017;18(5):654-662.

- 522 75. Sibaud V, Eid C, Belum VR, et al. Oral lichenoid reactions associated with anti-PD-  
523 1/PD-L1 therapies: clinicopathological findings. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*.  
524 2017;31(10):e464-e469.
- 525 76. Nicolatou-Galitis O, Sarri T, Bowen J, et al. Systematic review of anti-inflammatory  
526 agents for the management of oral mucositis in cancer patients. *Supportive care in*  
527 *cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer*.  
528 2013;21(11):3179-3189.
- 529 77. Hong CHL, Gueiros LA, Fulton JS, et al. Systematic review of basic oral care for the  
530 management of oral mucositis in cancer patients and clinical practice guidelines.  
531 *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of*  
532 *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2019;27(10):3949-3967.
- 533 78. Meneux E, Paniel BJ, Pouget F, Revuz J, Roujeau JC, Wolkenstein P. Vulvovaginal  
534 sequelae in toxic epidermal necrolysis. *The Journal of reproductive medicine*.  
535 1997;42(3):153-156.
- 536 79. Meneux E, Wolkenstein P, Haddad B, Roujeau JC, Revuz J, Paniel BJ. Vulvovaginal  
537 involvement in toxic epidermal necrolysis: a retrospective study of 40 cases.  
538 *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 1998;91(2):283-287.
- 539 80. Van Batavia JP, Chu DI, Long CJ, Jen M, Canning DA, Weiss DA. Genitourinary  
540 involvement and management in children with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic  
541 epidermal necrolysis. *Journal of pediatric urology*. 2017;13(5):490.e491-490.e497.
- 542 81. Baccaro LM, Sakharpe A, Miller A, Amani H. The first reported case of ureteral  
543 perforation in a patient with severe toxic epidermal necrolysis syndrome. *J Burn*  
544 *Care Res*. 2014;35(4):e265-268.
- 545 82. Boyraz G, Basaran D, Salman MC, Ozgul N, Yuce K. Vaginal Reconstruction for  
546 Vaginal Obliteration Secondary to Stevens Johnson Syndrome: A Case Report and  
547 Review of Literature. *Oman medical journal*. 2017;32(5):436-439.
- 548 83. Emberger M, Lanschuetzer CM, Laimer M, Hawranek T, Staudach A, Hintner H.  
549 Vaginal adenosis induced by Stevens-Johnson syndrome. *J Eur Acad Dermatol*  
550 *Venereol*. 2006;20(7):896-898.
- 551 84. Hart R, Minto C, Creighton S. Vaginal adhesions caused by Stevens-Johnson  
552 syndrome. *Journal of pediatric and adolescent gynecology*. 2002;15(3):151-152.
- 553 85. Kaser DJ, Reichman DE, Laufer MR. Prevention of vulvovaginal sequelae in stevens-  
554 johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Reviews in obstetrics &*  
555 *gynecology*. 2011;4(2):81-85.
- 556 86. Lee HY, Walsh SA, Creamer D. Long-term complications of Stevens-Johnson  
557 syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis (SJS/TEN): the spectrum of chronic problems  
558 in patients who survive an episode of SJS/TEN necessitates multidisciplinary follow-  
559 up. *Br J Dermatol*. 2017;177(4):924-935.
- 560 87. Noel JC, Buxant F, Fayt I, Bebuschere G, Parent D. Vulval adenosis associated with  
561 toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Br J Dermatol*. 2005;153(2):457-458.
- 562 88. Saeed H, Mantagos IS, Chodosh J. Complications of Stevens-Johnson syndrome  
563 beyond the eye and skin. *Burns*. 2016;42(1):20-27.
- 564 89. Wilson EE, Malinak LR. Vulvovaginal sequelae of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and  
565 their management. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 1988;71(3 Pt 2):478-480.

- 566 90. Campbell L, Emmerson E, Davies F, et al. Estrogen promotes cutaneous wound  
567 healing via estrogen receptor beta independent of its antiinflammatory activities.  
568 *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 2010;207(9):1825-1833.
- 569 91. Buchan A, Merideth MA, Childs RW, Stratton P. Novel management of vaginal  
570 chronic graft-versus-host disease causing haematometra and haematocolpos. *BMJ*  
571 *case reports*. 2018;2018.
- 572 92. Ching JA, Kuykendall LV, Troy JS, Smith DJ, Jr. Estrogen treatment of acetic acid  
573 burns to the vagina, cervix, and perineum: a case report and review of the literature.  
574 *J Burn Care Res*. 2014;35(5):e368-371.
- 575 93. Ashcroft GS, Greenwell-Wild T, Horan MA, Wahl SM, Ferguson MW. Topical estrogen  
576 accelerates cutaneous wound healing in aged humans associated with an altered  
577 inflammatory response. *The American journal of pathology*. 1999;155(4):1137-1146.
- 578 94. Emmerson E, Rando G, Meda C, Campbell L, Maggi A, Hardman MJ. Estrogen  
579 receptor-mediated signalling in female mice is locally activated in response to  
580 wounding. *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*. 2013;375(1-2):149-156.
- 581 95. Weinkle A, Pettit C, Jani A, et al. Distinguishing Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic  
582 epidermal necrolysis from clinical mimickers during inpatient dermatologic  
583 consultation-A retrospective chart review. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019;81(3):749-  
584 757.
- 585 96. Wallenborn J, Fischer M. Intensive Care in a Patient with Toxic Epidermal  
586 Necrolysis. *Case reports in critical care*. 2017;2017:3246196.
- 587 97. Boorboor P, Vogt PM, Bechara FG, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis: use of Biobrane  
588 or skin coverage reduces pain, improves mobilisation and decreases infection in  
589 elderly patients. *Burns*. 2008;34(4):487-492.
- 590 98. Yang C, Xu XM, He GZ. Efficacy and feasibility of opioids for burn analgesia: An  
591 evidence-based qualitative review of randomized controlled trials. *Burns*.  
592 2018;44(2):241-248.
- 593 99. Jennes S, Pierard GE, Paquet P. Deciphering supportive treatment strategies for toxic  
594 epidermal necrolysis. *Curr Drug Saf*. 2012;7(5):361-366.
- 595 100. Kator S, Correll DJ, Ou JY, Levinson R, Noronha GN, Adams CD. Assessment of low-  
596 dose i.v. ketamine infusions for adjunctive analgesia. *American journal of health-  
597 system pharmacy : AJHP : official journal of the American Society of Health-System  
598 Pharmacists*. 2016;73(5 Suppl 1):S22-29.
- 599 101. Gray P, Kirby J, Smith MT, et al. Pregabalin in severe burn injury pain: a double-  
600 blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Pain*. 2011;152(6):1279-1288.
- 601 102. Jones LM, Uribe AA, Coffey R, et al. Pregabalin in the reduction of pain and opioid  
602 consumption after burn injuries: A preliminary, randomized, double-blind, placebo-  
603 controlled study. *Medicine*. 2019;98(18):e15343.
- 604 103. Kaul I, Amin A, Rosenberg M, Rosenberg L, Meyer WJ, 3rd. Use of gabapentin and  
605 pregabalin for pruritus and neuropathic pain associated with major burn injury: A  
606 retrospective chart review. *Burns*. 2018;44(2):414-422.
- 607 104. Wibbenmeyer L, Eid A, Liao J, et al. Gabapentin is ineffective as an analgesic adjunct  
608 in the immediate postburn period. *J Burn Care Res*. 2014;35(2):136-142.
- 609 105. Lipovy B, Holoubek J, Hanslianova M, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis data from the  
610 CELESTE multinational registry. Part I: Epidemiology and general microbiological  
611 characteristics. *Burns*. 2018;44(6):1551-1560.



- 612 106. de Prost N, Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Duong T, et al. Bacteremia in Stevens-Johnson  
613 syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: epidemiology, risk factors, and predictive  
614 value of skin cultures. *Medicine*. 2010;89(1):28-36.
- 615 107. Kim HI, Kim SW, Park GY, et al. Causes and treatment outcomes of Stevens-Johnson  
616 syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in 82 adult patients. *The Korean journal of*  
617 *internal medicine*. 2012;27(2):203-210.
- 618 108. Rajaratnam R, Mann C, Balasubramaniam P, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis:  
619 retrospective analysis of 21 consecutive cases managed at a tertiary centre. *Clinical*  
620 *and experimental dermatology*. 2010;35(8):853-862.
- 621 109. Yamada H, Takamori K. Status of plasmapheresis for the treatment of toxic  
622 epidermal necrolysis in Japan. *Therapeutic apheresis and dialysis : official peer-*  
623 *reviewed journal of the International Society for Apheresis, the Japanese Society for*  
624 *Apheresis, the Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy*. 2008;12(5):355-359.
- 625 110. Koh HK, Chai ZT, Tay HW, et al. Risk factors and diagnostic markers of bacteremia in  
626 Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: A cohort study of 176  
627 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019;81(3):686-693.
- 628 111. Bequignon E, Duong TA, Sbidian E, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic  
629 epidermal necrolysis: ear, nose, and throat description at acute stage and after  
630 remission. *JAMA dermatology*. 2015;151(3):302-307.
- 631 112. Cartotto R. Burn Center Care of Patients with Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic  
632 Epidermal Necrolysis. *Clinics in plastic surgery*. 2017;44(3):583-595.
- 633 113. Schulz JT, Sheridan RL, Ryan CM, MacKool B, Tompkins RG. A 10-year experience  
634 with toxic epidermal necrolysis. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*.  
635 2000;21(3):199-204.
- 636 114. Struck MF, Hilbert P, Mockenhaupt M, Reichelt B, Steen M. Severe cutaneous adverse  
637 reactions: emergency approach to non-burn epidermolytic syndromes. *Intensive*  
638 *care medicine*. 2010;36(1):22-32.
- 639 115. Mahar PD, Wasiak J, Cleland H, et al. Secondary bacterial infection and empirical  
640 antibiotic use in toxic epidermal necrolysis patients. *J Burn Care Res*.  
641 2014;35(6):518-524.
- 642 116. Ang CC, Tay YK. Hematological abnormalities and the use of granulocyte-colony-  
643 stimulating factor in patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal  
644 necrolysis. *International journal of dermatology*. 2011;50(12):1570-1578.
- 645 117. Oh SJ, Kwon HI, Moon SH, Ro YS, Ko JY. Toxic epidermal necrolysis with isolated  
646 neutropenia related to the use of levetiracetam. *The Journal of dermatology*.  
647 2016;43(8):969-971.
- 648 118. Mahajan R, Kanwar AJ. Use of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in the treatment  
649 of toxic epidermal necrolysis--experience with 3 patients. *Skinmed*. 2013;11(5):269-  
650 271.
- 651 119. de Sica-Chapman A, Williams G, Soni N, Bunker CB. Granulocyte colony-stimulating  
652 factor in toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) and Chelsea & Westminster TEN  
653 management protocol [corrected]. *Br J Dermatol*. 2010;162(4):860-865.
- 654 120. Namdar T, von Wild T, Siemers F, et al. Does hypernatremia impact mortality in  
655 Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis? *German medical science : GMS e-journal*. 2010;8:Doc30.
- 656 121. Shiga S, Cartotto R. What are the fluid requirements in toxic epidermal necrolysis? *J*  
657 *Burn Care Res*. 2010;31(1):100-104.

- 658 122. Lissia M, Mulas P, Bulla A, Rubino C. Toxic epidermal necrolysis (Lyell's disease).  
659 *Burns*. 2010;36(2):152-163.
- 660 123. Fernando SL. The management of toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Australas J Dermatol*.  
661 2012;53(3):165-171.
- 662 124. Gandhi M, Kowal-Vern A, An G, Hanumadass M. Blister fluid composition in a  
663 pediatric patient with toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Burn Care Res*. 2008;29(4):671-  
664 675.
- 665 125. Struck MF, Illert T, Liss Y, Bosbach ID, Reichelt B, Steen M. Toxic epidermal  
666 necrolysis in pregnancy: case report and review of the literature. *J Burn Care Res*.  
667 2010;31(5):816-821.
- 668 126. Schneider JA, Cohen PR. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal  
669 Necrolysis: A Concise Review with a Comprehensive Summary of Therapeutic  
670 Interventions Emphasizing Supportive Measures. *Adv Ther*. 2017;34(6):1235-1244.
- 671 127. Mockenhaupt M. The current understanding of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic  
672 epidermal necrolysis. *Expert review of clinical immunology*. 2011;7(6):803-813; quiz  
673 814-805.
- 674 128. Schwartz RA, McDonough PH, Lee BW. Toxic epidermal necrolysis: Part II.  
675 Prognosis, sequelae, diagnosis, differential diagnosis, prevention, and treatment. *J*  
676 *Am Acad Dermatol*. 2013;69(2):187.e181-116; quiz 203-184.
- 677 129. Schneider JA, Cohen PR. Prognosis and management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome  
678 and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;77(4):e117.
- 679 130. Valeyrie-Allanore L, Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Chosidow O, Wolkenstein P. French referral  
680 center management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis.  
681 *Dermatologica Sinica*. 2013;31:191-195.
- 682 131. Chave TA, Mortimer NJ, Sladden MJ, Hall AP, Hutchinson PE. Toxic epidermal  
683 necrolysis: current evidence, practical management and future directions. *Br J*  
684 *Dermatol*. 2005;153(2):241-253.
- 685 132. Gravante G, Esposito G, Piazzolla M, Marianetti M, Delogu D, Montone A. Nutrition of  
686 toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2006;19(2):152-153; author reply 153-  
687 155.
- 688 133. Cederholm T, Jensen GL, Correia M, et al. GLIM criteria for the diagnosis of  
689 malnutrition - A consensus report from the global clinical nutrition community. *Clin*  
690 *Nutr*. 2019;38(1):1-9.
- 691 134. Graves C, Faraklas I, Maniatis K, et al. Nutrition in Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis: A  
692 Multicenter Review. *Nutrition in clinical practice : official publication of the American*  
693 *Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*. 2016;31(6):836-840.
- 694 135. Palmieri TL, Greenhalgh DG, Saffle JR, et al. A multicenter review of toxic epidermal  
695 necrolysis treated in U.S. burn centers at the end of the twentieth century. *The*  
696 *Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 2002;23(2):87-96.
- 697 136. Finfer S, Chittock DR, Su SY, et al. Intensive versus conventional glucose control in  
698 critically ill patients. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2009;360(13):1283-  
699 1297.
- 700 137. Stoecklin P, Delodder F, Pantet O, Berger MM. Moderate glycemic control safe in  
701 critically ill adult burn patients: A 15 year cohort study. *Burns*. 2016;42(1):63-70.
- 702 138. Yamada T, Shojima N, Noma H, Yamauchi T, Kadowaki T. Glycemic control,  
703 mortality, and hypoglycemia in critically ill patients: a systematic review and

- 704 network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Intensive care medicine*.  
705 2017;43(1):1-15.
- 706 139. Yatabe T, Inoue S, Sakaguchi M, Egi M. The optimal target for acute glycemic control  
707 in critically ill patients: a network meta-analysis. *Intensive care medicine*.  
708 2017;43(1):16-28.
- 709 140. Huang HB, Jiang W, Wang CY, Qin HY, Du B. Stress ulcer prophylaxis in intensive  
710 care unit patients receiving enteral nutrition: a systematic review and meta-  
711 analysis. *Crit Care*. 2018;22(1):20.
- 712 141. Hurt RT, Frazier TH, McClave SA, et al. Stress prophylaxis in intensive care unit  
713 patients and the role of enteral nutrition. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*.  
714 2012;36(6):721-731.
- 715 142. MacLaren R, Jarvis CL, Fish DN. Use of enteral nutrition for stress ulcer prophylaxis.  
716 *The Annals of pharmacotherapy*. 2001;35(12):1614-1623.
- 717 143. Beck A, Cooney R, Gamelli RL, Mosier MJ. Predicting Mechanical Ventilation and  
718 Mortality: Early and Late Indicators in Steven-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic  
719 Epidermal Necrolysis. *J Burn Care Res*. 2016;37(1):e47-55.
- 720 144. de Prost N, Mekontso-Dessap A, Valeyrie-Allanore L, et al. Acute respiratory failure  
721 in patients with toxic epidermal necrolysis: clinical features and factors associated  
722 with mechanical ventilation. *Critical care medicine*. 2014;42(1):118-128.
- 723 145. Lebargy F, Wolkenstein P, Gisselbrecht M, et al. Pulmonary complications in toxic  
724 epidermal necrolysis: a prospective clinical study. *Intensive care medicine*.  
725 1997;23(12):1237-1244.
- 726 146. Lipovy B, Baran M. A draft of bronchoscopic grading system in patients with toxic  
727 epidermal necrolysis. *Burns*. 2017;43(4):890-892.
- 728 147. Kamada N, Kinoshita K, Togawa Y, et al. Chronic pulmonary complications  
729 associated with toxic epidermal necrolysis: report of a severe case with anti-Ro/SS-  
730 A and a review of the published work. *The Journal of dermatology*. 2006;33(9):616-  
731 622.
- 732 148. Williams R, Hodge J, Ingram W. Indications for intubation and early tracheostomy in  
733 patients with Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *American*  
734 *journal of surgery*. 2016;211(4):684-688.e681.
- 735 149. Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A.  
736 Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for  
737 acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *The New England*  
738 *journal of medicine*. 2000;342(18):1301-1308.
- 739 150. Guerin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory  
740 distress syndrome. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2013;368(23):2159-2168.
- 741 151. Alhazzani W, Lim W, Jaeschke RZ, Murad MH, Cade J, Cook DJ. Heparin  
742 thromboprophylaxis in medical-surgical critically ill patients: a systematic review  
743 and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Critical care medicine*. 2013;41(9):2088-  
744 2098.
- 745 152. Decousus H, Tapson VF, Bergmann JF, et al. Factors at admission associated with  
746 bleeding risk in medical patients: findings from the IMPROVE investigators. *Chest*.  
747 2011;139(1):69-79.
- 748 153. Minet C, Potton L, Bonadona A, et al. Venous thromboembolism in the ICU: main  
749 characteristics, diagnosis and thromboprophylaxis. *Crit Care*. 2015;19:287.

- 750 154. Hostler DC, Marx ES, Moores LK, et al. Validation of the International Medical  
751 Prevention Registry on Venous Thromboembolism Bleeding Risk Score. *Chest*.  
752 2016;149(2):372-379.
- 753 155. Greinacher A. CLINICAL PRACTICE. Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia. *The New*  
754 *England journal of medicine*. 2015;373(3):252-261.  
755

Journal Pre-proof

**Table 1. Clinical Question**

**What supportive care treatment strategies are safe and effective for adult patients with SJS, SJS-TEN overlap, or TEN?**

1.	Hospital setting and care team
2.	Wound care
3.	Ocular care
4.	Oral care
5.	Urogenital care
6.	Pain management
7.	Infection surveillance
8.	Fluid and electrolyte management
9.	Nutrition and stress ulcer prophylaxis
10.	Airway management
11.	Anticoagulation

SJS, Steven-Johnson syndrome; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis

**Table 2. Recommendations**

	Level of evidence*	Strength of Recommendation*	DI**	Median
<b><i>Hospital setting and care team</i></b>				
Management of patients with SJS/TEN requires a multidisciplinary team that may include dermatology, intensive care, pulmonology, ophthalmology, otorhinolaryngology, gynecology, urology, nephrology, plastic surgery, nutrition, nursing, psychology/psychiatry, and other fields.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Dermatologists are experts in the disease state of SJS/TEN and should directly participate in the management of such patients.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Staff should have specific training in the care of patients with SJS/TEN.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9.0
Chronic conditions and comorbidities play a significant role in the mortality of SJS/TEN patients and the need for specialized care, and hospital transfers should take into account these comorbidities.	3	C	0.00	9.0
Medical or burn ICU settings of care for SJS/TEN patients are recommended.	2-/3	D	0.00	9.0
SJS/TEN patients must be cared for in a private room.	3	D	0.13	9.0
Patient rooms should be controlled for humidity.	4	D	0.26	7.5
Sterile sheets should be obtained and used for patient bedding, where available	4	D	0.65	8.0
At least one nurse should take care of one SJS/TEN patient (at least 1:1 ratio).	4	D	0.32	8.0
<b><i>Wound care</i></b>				
Determine % BSA of epidermal detachment (only skin that is already necrotic, detached, or skin with positive Nikolsky sign).	3	D	0.06	9.0
Avoid unnecessary wound manipulation by limiting the number of dressing changes.	3	D	0.13	8.0
Use an air-fluidized bed to minimize friction.	3	D	0.15	8.0
Gently cleanse all areas with sterile water, normal saline, or dilute chlorhexidine (0.05%) solution with dressing changes.	4	D	0.26	8.0
The detached and detachable epidermis should be left in place as a biological dressing.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
Lyse large or painful bullae for comfort only.	4	D (GPP)	0.20	8.0
Wound debridement of necrotic skin is not recommended.	4	D (GPP)	0.82	7.0
Apply topical emollients such as petroleum jelly on the entire epidermis.	3	D	0.13	8.5
Apply non-adherent sterile dressings to denuded skin.	3	D	0.13	9.0
Select non-adherent silver-impregnated primary dressings for optimal moisture retention and antibacterial properties.	2+/3	D	0.59	6.5
Apply secondary dressing to absorb exudate.	3	D	0.37	7.0
<b><i>Ocular care</i></b>				
Patients thought to have SJS/TEN should be examined by an ophthalmologist as part of the initial assessment.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Patients should be examined at least every 24 hours until it is clear there is no worsening, and thereafter the frequency of follow-up should be determined on a case-by case basis.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9.0
Educate the appropriate staff regarding the need for immediate ophthalmologic evaluation of all SJS/TEN	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0

patients and the proper application of topical ocular medications (drops and ointments).				
The entire ocular surface should be examined daily- eyelid skin, eyelid margin, conjunctiva, and cornea. The eyelids should be everted, and the eyes rotated to look for forniceal and tarsal conjunctival epithelial defects and early symblephara.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
Fluorescein staining should be done in all patients.	4	D	0.65	6.5
Resting eyelid position should be assessed for lagophthalmos.	4	D	0.37	8.0
Grade the ocular exam findings to facilitate medical decision making (eAppendix5).	3	D	0.65	7.0
Consider amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) during the initial evaluation of any patient thought to have SJS/TEN and at each follow-up exam during the acute phase.	1+/2+	B	0.13	8.0
Offer AMT to patients with moderate to severe conjunctival injection, significant conjunctival epithelial defects (especially the eyelid margin, tarsal conjunctiva, fornices), significant corneal epithelial defects or membranes / pseudo-membranes.	1+/2+	B	0.13	8.0
AMT is ideally performed within 5 days of onset but may be offered later.	1+/2+	B	0.13	8.0
Amniotic membrane should cover the entire ocular surface.	1+/2+	B	0.00	8.0
Apply artificial tears every 1-2 hours for any patient with any ocular surface inflammation.	4	D	0.13	8.0
Apply ophthalmic ointment to the eyelid margin every 2-24 hours.	4	D	0.13	8.0
Eye drops containing preservatives should be avoided.	4	D	0.48	8.0
Apply a moisture chamber over the eyes for lagophthalmos. A facemask or moist occlusive dressing may be used for this purpose.	4	D	0.56	8.0
Rinse the eyes every 2-24 hours with sterile saline.	4	D	0.16	7.5
Remove/lyse adherent debris and membranes daily.	4	D	0.16	8.0
Apply a topical anesthetic (e.g. proparacaine or tetracaine) if needed.	4	D	0.12	8.0
Apply a corticosteroid containing ointment to the eyelid margin and eyelashes at least once daily and a corticosteroid drop to the ocular surface at least twice daily for any patient with any ocular surface inflammation.	2-	D	0.59	8.0
If there is clinical suspicion of infectious conjunctivitis, obtain a bacterial (and consider a fungal) culture of the ocular surface and begin application of a topical broad-spectrum antibiotic (4th generation quinolone commonly used).	4	D	0.00	8.0
Avoid chloramphenicol drops and tetracycline containing ointment, as these have been associated with late complications, particularly dry eyes.	3	D	0.65	8.0
<b>Oral Care</b>				
The mouth should be examined as part of the initial assessment of a patient with SJS/TEN.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Daily oral exam is required during acute illness.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Have a low threshold for HSV PCR, bacterial, and fungal cultures if infection is suspected.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Petrolatum ointment should be applied on the lips immediately, and then every 2 hours throughout the acute illness.	3	D	0.29	8.0
Viscous lidocaine 2%, 15 ml per application, can be used every three hours (and prior to cleanses) as an oral rinse to control pain.	3	D	0.13	8.0
Clean the mouth daily with warm saline mouthwashes or an oral sponge, sweeping the sponge gently in the labial and buccal sulci to reduce the risk of fibrotic scars and prevent buildup of hemorrhagic crust.	3	D	0.13	8.0

An antiseptic oral rinse should be used twice daily to reduce bacterial colonization of the mucosa.	3	D	0.65	6.5
A topical steroid (ultrapotent) ointment can be applied up to 4 times a day during the acute phase.	3	D	0.58	8.0
Consider diluted chlorhexidine digluconate mouthwash (2-3 times daily).	3	D	0.37	7.0
Consider the use of oral coating agents for pain reduction in patients with oral mucosal involvement.	4	D	0.13	8.0
<b><i>Urogenital care</i></b>				
Examine the urogenital tract as part of the initial assessment of a patient with SJS/TEN.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Urogenital exam should ideally be performed by a gynecologist, urologist, or urogynecology specialist.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
Daily exam is required during the acute illness.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
If there is clinical suspicion for vaginal candidiasis in the setting of vaginal steroid use, consider obtaining a KOH and fungal culture and beginning treatment with antifungal medications.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
During the acute phase of the disease, the vulvar/urogenital skin/mucosa should be coated with an ointment and/or ointment gauze to help reduce pain, reduce adhesion formation, and facilitate healing.	3	D	0.13	8.0
An intravaginal device such as a dilator/tampon/vaginal mold/roll of gauze covered in a lubricated condom can be utilized to treat vaginal disease.	3	D	0.13	9.0
Intravaginal devices may be left in place for no longer than 24hrs, at which time they should be replaced.	3	D	0.03	8.0
Even for virginal patients, use of a small mold or a condom-covered tampon should be encouraged if the patient is emotionally and physically comfortable with the regimen.	4	D	0.65	7.0
Patients uncomfortable with using an intravaginal device, can apply medication twice daily with a vaginal applicator.	4	D	0.06	8.0
Topical anesthetics (i.e., lidocaine 5% ointment) can be used at the vaginal introitus, once open sores have healed, to reduce discomfort with use of the vaginal dilators.	3	D	0.01	8.0
It is at the provider's discretion to use either a non-steroidal ointment (i.e., petrolatum jelly) with reapplication as frequently as necessary (2-4x daily) to maintain barrier protection and/or consider 1-2x daily application of a high potency steroid ointment if active inflammation is observed, with the caveat that consideration for tapering of steroid use should be based on clinical improvement.	3	D	0.00	8.0
Consider the medication on the dilator can be changed to, or alternated with, estrogen cream to help promote healing of the vaginal mucosa.	4	D	0.55	7.0
Consider menstrual suppression to reduce discomfort and possibly to decrease the risk of vaginal adenosis.	3	D	0.69	8.0
Consider division of any fine [vaginal] adhesions to prevent the development of thick fibrous bands that could lead to problems inserting tampons and during sexual intercourse later in life.	3	D	0.22	8.0
Consider urinary catheters to decrease pain with urination, prevent urinary obstruction, and monitor fluid balance.	3	D	0.13	8.0
<b><i>Pain management</i></b>				
Evaluation and treatment of pain is a priority in the acute phase, especially during wound management.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Pain should be evaluated on a 4-hourly basis.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9.0
A validated pain tool should be used to assess pain in all conscious patients at least once a day.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9.0
If the score is mild, pain control with acetaminophen should be introduced.	3	D	0.00	8.0
If acetaminophen is not enough, oral synthetic opiates such as tramadol should be considered.	3	D	0.23	8.0
If the pain score is moderate to severe, then morphine or fentanyl should be delivered enterally, by PCA, or by infusion.	3	D	0.13	8.0



Procedures such as dressing changes and bathing may require additional pain control.	3	D	0.00	9.0
Consider adding low dose ketamine infusions.	3	D	0.65	6.5
Consider adding gabapentin or pregabalin.	3	D	0.65	7.0
NSAIDs should be avoided due to renal or gastric injury.	3	D	0.35	7.0
<b><i>Infection surveillance</i></b>				
Hand hygiene and other infection control measures should be strictly applied.	3	D (GPP)	0.00	9
Patients should be monitored carefully for signs of systemic infection, such as confusion, hypotension, reduced urine output and reduced oxygen saturation.	3	D	0.00	9
Cutaneous infection may be accompanied by increase in skin pain.	3	D	0.13	8.5
Consider activation of HSV in eroded or vesicular areas which are slow to heal, particularly in genital and oral sites. Take viral swabs if herpes virus infection is suspected.	3	D	0.00	9
In patients with diarrhea who are immobile, consider a fecal management system to prevent fecal soiling of wounds.	3	D	0.13	8.5
Prophylactic antibiotic treatment is not recommended.	4	D	0.13	8.5
Administer systemic antibiotics only if there are clinical signs of infection. The choice of systemic antibiotic should be guided by local microbiological resistance patterns.	3	D	0.13	9
Severe ENT involvement is significantly associated with pulmonary infection. ENT evaluation using nasal fiberoptic endoscopy should be suggested when dysphonia or dyspnea are present.	3	D	0.16	8.0
<b><i>Fluid and electrolyte management</i></b>				
Peripheral catheters preferred for vascular access with implantation in uninjured skin and fixed with non-adhesive dressings.	3	D	0.13	9
Change peripheral venous cannulas every 48 hours if possible.	3	D	0.65	7
Monitor electrolytes and fluid balance daily.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9
Consider invasive fluid balance monitoring with Foley catheter.	3	D	0.33	8
Fluid administration should be titrated to urine output (0.5-1 ml/kg/hr).	3	D	0.16	8
<b><i>Nutrition and stress ulcer prophylaxis</i></b>				
Maintain adequate nutrition orally; utilize nasogastric tube if necessary. Enteral feeding reduces stress ulcers and reduces bacterial translocation and enterogenic infection.	3	D	0.13	9
Supplement enteral nutrition with parenteral if intake via the enteral route is insufficient to meet caloric needs.	3	D	0.39	8
Avoid nasogastric tube placement if there is involvement of the nasopharyngeal mucosa.	3	D	0.37	7
Deliver daily caloric requirement of 30-35 kcal/kg.	3	D	0.33	8
Maintain close glycemic control.	3	D (GPP)	0.03	8
In patients receiving enteral nutrition, pharmacologic stress ulcer prophylaxis is not recommended.	4	D (GPP)	0.65	8
Pharmacologic stress ulcer prophylaxis with PPIs should be limited to patients at high risk for clinically important bleeding (respiratory failure, coagulopathy, liver disease, use of renal replacement therapy, three or more co-existing diseases).	4	D (GPP)	0.16	8
PPIs should be used over H2 receptor antagonists (due to decrease in GI bleeding events).	4	D (GPP)	0.5	7.5
<b><i>Airway management</i></b>				
The nose should be examined as part of the initial assessment of a patient with SJS/TEN.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9

Daily nasal exams are required during acute illness.	4	D (GPP)	0.07	8
Pulmonary care includes normal saline aerosols, bronchial aspiration and postural drainage by turning the patient to different sides.	4	D	0.11	8
Severe ENT involvement is significantly associated with pulmonary infection. ENT evaluation using nasal fiberoptic endoscopy should be suggested when dysphonia or dyspnea are present.	3/4	D	0.16	8
Chest X-ray and arterial blood gases should be obtained upon admission for baseline respiratory function assessment.	3/4	D	0.65	7
Patients with ongoing respiratory symptoms should be closely monitored with pulmonary function testing and high-resolution computed tomography (CT) scanning.	3	D	0.37	8
Fiberoptic bronchoscopy should be undertaken in patients with respiratory symptoms and hypoxia.	3	D	0.00	8
Bronchoscopy should be done by an experienced technician due to risk of post-instrumental endobronchial bleeding.	3	D	0.13	8
Consider intubation and early tracheostomy in patients with oral involvement AND one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial BSA 70% or more</li> <li>• Progression of BSA involved from DOH1 to DOH3 &gt; 15%</li> <li>• Underlying neurologic diagnosis prevents airway protection</li> <li>• Documented airway involvement on direct laryngoscopy</li> </ul>	3	D	0.40	7
Ventilation strategies should mimic ARDS management guidelines (low tidal volume and early prone positioning).	4	D	0.65	7
<b><i>Anticoagulation</i></b>				
Immobile patients should receive low molecular weight heparin.	4	D (GPP)	0.07	8
For acutely ill patients at increased risk of thrombosis who are bleeding or at high risk for major bleeding, mechanical thromboprophylaxis with graduated compression stockings or intermittent pneumatic compression is recommended.	4	D (GPP)	0.16	8

\*For level of evidence and grade of recommendation calculation see eAppendix2. GPP, good practice point. A GPP is a recommendation for best practice based on the experience of the guideline development group.

\*\*Statements were appraised on a Likert scale of 1 (strongly disagree) to 9 (strongly agree), medians and disagreement indexes (DI) were calculated for each statement. Items with a  $DI \leq 1$  and a median  $\geq 6.5$  were deemed appropriate and included in the guidelines, and all other items were not included as recommendations. (eAppendix4)

SJS, Steven-Johnson syndrome; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis; BSA, Body Surface Area; DI, disagreement index; DOH, Day of hospitalization; ENT, Ear-Nose-Throat; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; NSAIDs, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PCA, patient-controlled analgesia; PPI, proton pump inhibitor.